FORM III-B Agency's Final Evaluation of Intern

Intern Name:	Agency:
each line is a which descri appropriate s LEJA, 1 Un swanson@w	lete the following intern evaluation (MS Word version with embedded text boxes for available at http://www.wiu.edu/coehs/leja/fire/index.php). For each trait listed decide ption most nearly applied to the intern being rated, and place a check mark in the space provided. Please mail the completed form to Internship Coordinator, WIU - viversity Circle, Macomb, IL 61455, or fax it to 309/298-2516, or e-mail it to j-iu.edu, (your professional e-mail address will represent your signature). You may implete Form III-A, B, or C, only one form is requested.
A. DEPI	ENDABILITY: (Manner he/she applied self; amount of supervision required)
	Deserved utmost confidence; showed sound judgment based on thorough analysis, eldom necessary to check.
	Could be relied upon to use good judgement and common sense in facing new tuations.
3	Could be counted on in routine situations; required only occasional spot-checking.
4	Needed frequent checking inclined to be illogical.
5	Could not be relied upon at all; needed to be watched constantly.
	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere etween trait numbers and
B. INIT	IATIVE (Originality in thinking; new ways of completing tasks)
	Relied heavily on others; needed to be continually pushed to complete satisfactory b.
2	Needed to have detailed instructions; was slow in getting started.
3	Performed regular work without waiting for instructions; had average initiative.
4	Was generally alert; analyzed and completed assignments with minimal help.
	Was a self-starter; very original; made frequent practical suggestions, was anxious tackle difficult assignments.
6. <u> </u>	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere etween trait numbers and

C.	C. RELATIONS WITH OTHERS (Effort of attitude, actions and disposition upon others		
 Was respected by others; created a favorable impression, had a goo was cooperative and friendly. 			
	2.	Indifferent; not always cooperative, showed little enthusiasm.	
	3.	Disagreeable; resentful and critical of associates, complained often.	
	4.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and	
D. ABILITY TO LEARN: (Mental ability to master routines, grasped explanations, spewhich s/he learned)			
	1.	Needed considerable and repeated instruction; had undue difficulty in comprehending techniques and routines.	
	2.	Normal ability in grasping techniques and routines; required but little instruction, had good memory.	
	3.	Grasped ideas quickly, required only initial instruction, excellent memory.	
	4.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and	
E. KNOWLEDGE OF JOB: (procedures, facilities and equipment)		WLEDGE OF JOB: (procedures, facilities and equipment)	
	1.	Had unusually detailed and complete knowledge of the job.	
	2.	Well informed; had more than average knowledge of this position.	
	3.	Fairly complete knowledge; understood ordinary and routine situations.	
	4.	Poorly informed; had difficulty following routine procedures, displayed meager knowledge or understanding of job.	
	5.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and	

F.	PUNCTUALITY: (Work time arrival)		
	1.	Seldom arrived to work on time.	
	2.	Frequently late in arriving for work.	
	3.	Seldom late in arriving for work.	
	4.	Arrived for work at the assigned time.	
	5.	Consistently early in arriving to work.	
	6.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and	
G.	QUAN	NTITY OF WORK ASSIGNED:	
	1.	Was assigned specific tasks to perform each day of the internship.	
	2.	Was assigned tasks frequently (more than 50% but less than 100% of the time).	
	3.	Was often assigned tasks (25% to 50% of the time).	
	4.	Was seldom assigned tasks (less than 25% of the time).	
	5.	Functioned solely as an observer.	
 H. QUANTITY OF WORK PRODUCED: (Volume produced under normal condit disregard errors) 			
	1.	Exceptionally rapid worker; amount produced definitely superior to others.	
	2.	Rapid worker output above average.	
	3.	Average producer; worked to steady pace, wasted very little time.	
	4.	Frequently below average; did not make best use of time.	
	5.	Slow worker wasted time, seldom able to reach normal output.	
	6.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was	

1.	volume)		
	 Careless, work barely acceptable. Often made mistakes; needed continuous supervision. 		
	2Work was passable; required considerable checking.		
	3Work was average in quality; moderate supervision necessary.		
	4Usually did better than average work; seldom made errors.		
	Consistently did exceptionally fine work; was chosen for difficult assignments.		
	6Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and		
J.	LEADERSHIP:		
	1Had difficulty.		
	2Got good results.		
	3Very effective leader.		
	4Not able to observe the intern in this role.		
	5Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and		
K.	PLANNING AND ORGANIZATION: (Ability to plan, schedule and lay out work to make the most effective use of personnel, materials, and equipment.)		
	1Very effective under all circumstances.		
	2Planned and organized well.		
	3Needed assistance.		
	4Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and		

Answer yes ((Y) or no (N) to the following questions, if	f applicable
L. TEMPI	ERAMENT:	
1.	Did s/he habitually exercise self-cont	trol?
2.	Did s/he become excited under stress	?
3.	Did s/he take criticism well?	
4.	Did s/he rapidly adjust well to people	e and situations?
5.	Was s/he usually firm yet fair and im	partial?
M. LOYA	LTY	
1.	Did s/he adhere to department rules a	and regulations, and aims and ideals?
2.	Did s/he show pride in his/her work a	and the internship?
3.	Did s/he support his/her superiors?	
4.	Did s/he carry out instructions?	
5.	Did s/he show interest in your agency	y?
COMMENTS	BY AGENCY COORDINATOR (and/or	other agency representatives):
*		
Submitted by		
	(Typed or Printed)	(Title)
	(Signature)	(Date)